

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 12/11/2001 Rubin S. Rochlin 4380 10/015,452 7590 07/28/2003 Scott Rochlin EXAMINER 126 107th Ave SE #15 CHANG, VICTOR S Bellevue, WA 98004 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1771

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/015,452	ROCHLIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor S Chang	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow.		prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claims are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a

Application/Control Number: 10/015,452

Art Unit: 1771

manner as to present a complete operative device. The claims must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patents cited.

For claims 1-3, the Examiner suggests to renumber the claims in Arabic numbering, and also a complete re-write of each claim with "comprising" format in one sentence. See MPEP § 2111.03.

Claim 1 is indefinite because it is devoid of any structural element. The Examiner suggests to incorporate claim 2 into claim 1.

For claim 2, line 4, the phrase "last longer without matting" is vague and indefinite, i.e., it is unclear as to the scope of "last longer" and the meaning of "matting". For the purpose of this Office action, it is presumed that the resiliency of the filling material is greater than a regular polyurethane foam or synthetic fiber (See Specification, page 4, last paragraph).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
  Oliver (US 3761131) either individually, or in view of WO 99/52405 (Derwent Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/015,452

Art Unit: 1771

Oliver's invention is directed to a sofa-like article of furniture having pillow-like seat and back <u>cushions</u>. Each cushion consists of a flexible cover <u>filled with a resilient</u> <u>particulate material</u> such as chunks of <u>polyurethane foam</u> (Abstract).

For claims 1-3, although Oliver lacks express teachings as to the reticulated open-celled structure of the foam and the size of the foam particulates, it is believed that polyurethane foams are inherently open-celled, and a suitable particulate size is either inherently disclosed, or an obvious optimization to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Alternatively, it is noted that WO '405 is directed to a pillow formed of preferably a reticulated polyurethane foam, and the pillow provides ventilation which is adequate for prevention of sweating under normal conditions (Derwent Abstract). As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Oliver's filling material with the foam of WO '405, motivated by the desire to obtain improved resiliency and ventilation to the pillow. Finally, regarding the air filtering property of the foam, it is also believed to be inherent to an open-celled foam structure.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In addition, the following references are cited of interest for making polyurethane foam pillow:

US 5797154 to Contreras, which is directed to a pillow formed of a reticulated polyurethane foam.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 703-605-4296. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/015,452

Art Unit: 1771

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

VSC July 22, 2003

ELIZABETH M. COLE PRIMARY EXAMINER